App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Mug 379-21-1-4

File No. 280-29
Serial Nos. 1-4

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for October 1930.

SUBJECT.

Change of designation of M.V. Anantalakshmi from "Anantha Bellikoli" to that of "Chickka Kathyathegavi" consequent upon being the senior.

G.O. No. 789-90
Dated 8.10.30

To the Mysore Comptroller
" Comptroller

" Accountant General

8/

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 280 - of 1929

Serial No.

Letter No. P.C. 154 dated 3.3.30.
From the Jung: Commr.

Recommends that the request of the applicant Sri. M.V. Anandalwar on the Estt: of the Sri Ranganatha temple at Seringapatam that the appellation of 'Srirangapatna Manigya Bettadalu' may be changed to 'Chickaparampatanya' according to the Sanad of 1814.

1) The request of Sri. M.V. Anandalwar that the name of the present post on the Estt: of the Sri Ranganatha temple may be changed to 'Chickaparampatanya' as the present appellation of 'Srirangapatna Manigya [illegible]

2) The Jung: Commr recommends that the request may be [illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 280 of 1929

Serial No.	
1	Subd with a draft to the Mag: Comm. 6.9.30
2	To the Mag Comm no 1468. Q. Enquires if the present office held by the applicant is a hereditary one & if not whether (illegible)
3	Letter no. P.C 154/29-30 dated 3.9.30 From the Mag. Comm. States that the office will have to be considered as hereditary.
4	The Mag. Comm's recommendation that the petitioner's prayer for the desig- nation of his office being changed to Chicka- pampatnya may be conceded is forwarded with reference to [illegible] orders

SWSA

(H. Lacey) 6.9.30

1st Secy

2903/9.9.

Note—Contd. on page

OFFICE OF THE COUNCIL
FIRST MEETING
13 SEPT. 1930
No. 2427

WD 866—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

C Subd with a draft. C.O

Issued 17/9

JC. Leeg)

18.9

5/10/30

10. Issue

6.10.30.

To The m c.
Comptroller to Govt.

No: 489-90 dt 8/10/30.

Sanction recommendation made by the Mug. Com...

10.10.30

To

Rajaseva Parayana
P.Srinivasa Iyengar, B.A., ...,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

1412

Muz 280-29-1

Sir,

In submitting herewith the file of connected records received with the Mysore District,Deputy Commissioner's letter No. M.458/39 dated 2nd January 1940 (copy herein enclosed) in the matter of the prayer of D.V. Anandalwar of Seringapatam for the change of the name of his post of "Manager and Dellikolu" on the Establishment attached to Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam, into Chick parupathegar, I have the honor to state as ----- follows:-

The barayard of Chick parupathya of the Sri Ranganathaswami -- temple at Seringapatam belonged to the family of Srinivasa Iyengar(petitioner's grand father) as per sanad dated 20th October 1814 granted by His Highness the late Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur . During the last revision of the scale of expenditure of the temple sanctioned in Government order No. 659-41 Muz.270-21-8 dated 8th August 1932 the posts of Agrodaka Maniya, Addika and two Dellikolu Wages carrying a total emolument of Rs.15/- were replaced by two Majoss on Rs.6/- each and out of these one Maniya (Dellikolu) was given to the family of the petitioner. He now prays that the original designation of Chick Parupathegar granted to him as per sanad may be restored to him as he considers that the addition Dellikolu to his appellation as Anegar is significant of menial service and detracts from the prestige conferred by the appellation given in the Sanad to his -- service. The Deputy Commissioner opines that the petitioner's request may be considered at the time of the next revision of the scale of expenditure of the temple. As the sanad given by the late Highness mentions his office as Chick Parapathegar and as the petitioner wants merely to have the name of his office changed to Chick parapathegar, involving no increase in enoluments, I beg to recommend that the petitioner's prayer to change the name of 'Manager and Dellikolu' into 'Chick parapathegar' may be conceded, his duties and remuneration remaining the same.

I have to add that the change will be in conformity wi
sa nd of in ct h ness and will satisfy the petitioner,
ou imposi on additional bur en on the institution and that
it do not will fall ne xt revision of the scale of expendi
ure when the w lo ork s ol ce an ar ne sanctioned even now.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner
To Principal Commissioner.
(Absent from Station)

Report on the petition of M.V.Anandalwar of Seringapatam.

With reference to your letter No. P.Ç.154/29-30 dated 16/18th Nov 1929 forwarding for report petition received from M.V.Anandalwar of Seringapatam praying among other matters for the post of Parupathya/ the Sri Karyam in the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at the place, I have the honor to submit herewith the connected records and to state as follows:-

2. It is seen from the records that the hereditary post of Chik Parupathya in Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam belongs to the family of Sriniv. Iyengar as per sanad dated 20-10-1814 granted by His Highness the late Sri Krishna Raja Wodeyar Bahadur. At the time when the scale of expenditure of the temple was revised during 1900, the post of Chik parupathya was abolished and in its place a post of Adika was created and the hereditary continued in the family of Sri Invasa Iyengar. Again during the re-revision of the scale of expenditure of the temple sanctioned in Government order No. 630-41 Huz.379-21-3 dated 9-8-1923 posts of Agrodaka Paniya, Adika and two Bellikolu Ulagas carrying a total emolument of Rs.15/- were replaced by two Parupatyas on Rs.6/- each and out of these one Paniya(Bellikolu) was given to the family of Srinivasa Iyengar.

3. The grandson of the above hereditor, M.V.Anandalwar petitioned this office on 1-1-27 stating that in place of the dignified post of Chik parupathya and thereafter Adika enjoyed by his family he has been given a menial post of Bellikolu Paniya(servant's post) as per revision of the scale of the temple sanctioned during 1923, and prayed that as he held the post of Chik parupathya prior to 1900 under the authority of a sanad, he might be given the parupatya post, or Adika post in lieu of Bellikolu Paniya.

4. The Amildar of Seringapatam reported on the above petition on 13-2-28 stating that the pay of Adika post under the old scale was 8 per month there as under the revised scale of 1923 the pay of Parupatya is Rs-6/- that there was no loss to the petitioner and that there is no honourable designation was sought for by the petitioner hence there seemed to be no objection to give different name to the post with the sanction of Government. The Sub Division Officer, French-Rocks in his report stated that it was not necessary to be bound to the orders of the

1922 at that distance of time, as there was no loss and that the Karumathya post claimed by the petitioner was, like as it stands in the name of another man. The Sub Divisional Officer was requested to issue suitable endorsement to the petitioner

V.Nandalwar again petitioned this office on 19-11-29 praying that he may be allowed as a land holder, his case might be considered and the post of the Karnam may be given to him. As the proposals for the revision of the scale of pay of the Karnam of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple were under consideration, the Sub Divisional Officer, French-Rocks, was requested to expedite submitting the proposals whether the change of designation was possible. An endorsement was also issued to --- in this office No. T.R.60/30 dated 23-1-29 to the above effect and to obtain the report regarding revision of the scale in regard to the mode of appointment of the post. The petitioner subsequently submitted another petition on 2-2-29 and another endorsement reiterating the above fact, as also issued to him on 3-3-1929. It is against the petitioner was appealed to our office.

"Copy"

for Tuzrai Commissioner.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mug:

File No. 280-29

Serial No. 2

No. 1968/Rev I/32

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Inspr. Genl. of Comm.
in Mysore

Date of issue M. 26/6/30

Signed by M.V.T.K.S.Jr.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no: P.C 154 dated 3rd March in the matter of the prayer of M.V. Anandalwar of Serugapatam for the change of the name of his post of Manegar and Belliedu into Chief Pamphateyar. I am directed to enquire ~~of to~~ whether the present office held by the applicant is a hereditary one and if

over

List of enclosures .....

Copied by: 25/3

Examined by.....

Wherever change of design
make it such.

The records are herewith
returned for your reference

Thanks

A.D.
21.3.2013

For Jt. Secy: to Govt

OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 2nd September 1930.

No. PC154 Re 7/11 Muz. 280-29-3

FROM S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

[redacted]

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

SECRETARIAT

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1968/Muz.280-29/30 dated 25th March 1930, I have the honor to forward herewith the records ending with the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.H.458/29 dated -- 10th July 1930, wherein he says that the office referred to in the copy of the Sannad is a hereditary one. The petitioner produced the original sannad before this office and it agrees with the copy produced. It was returned to him as he wanted it back.

2. In these circumstances, the office will have to be considered as hereditary.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S/ Rajagopalachari

Muzrai Commissioner.

H 2 dated 0th u y
Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Prayer of Anandalwar, change of name of manegar and Bellikolu into Chikka Parupathya, Seringapatam temple.

With reference to your memo No.P.C.154 of 29-30 dated 3-4-1930, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Seringapatam taluk reports that at the time of the last revision of the scale of the temple the name of Chikka Parupathya or Addika was changed to that of Manegar and Bellikolu Maniya and thereby his pay was also reised from Rs.5/- to Rs.6/- that the office referred to in the copy of the Sannad is a hereditary one and that the petitioner may be asked to produc the original sannad.

2. The change of designation of the post may be possible during the next revision of the scale of the temple as already -- intimated to the petitioner by this office.

3. The entire record on the subject is enclosed.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Government order No. 639-41/Muz.379-21-2 dated 9th August 1922 sanctioning a revised scale of expenditure in the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. P.C.154 of 1929-30 dated the 3rd September 1930 from the Muzrai Commissioner recommendin that the request of M.V. Anandalwar that the designation of his post in the Sri Ranganathaswami temple may be changed from "Manegar and Bellikolu" to "Chicka Parpathegar" may be conceded, his duties and remuneration remaining the same.

Order No. 789-90/8mg 2Dv-79-4

Dated, Camp Mysore, the 6th October 1930

Sanctioned.

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with records.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192* .

Date of issue 8 . 12 . 30 .

Signed by ..... .

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Sec Muz

File No. 280-29

Serial No. 6p

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read –

G.O. No. 639-41/Muz.379-21-2 dated 9th Aug: 1922 Sanctioning a revised Scale of Expenditure in the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Seringapatam.

Correspondence ending with letter No:

~~Order Thereon~~ No.

P.C 154/29-30 dated 3.9.30 from the Muz. Commr. recommending that ~~in the matter of the prayer~~ request of M.V. Anandaswar, ~~of Seringapatam~~, that the designation of his post on the Estt. of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple may be changed from 'Manegar and Bellikolu' to 'Chikka pampathegar', ~~and the Commissioner has the request of the~~

appointment may be conceded, the duties
& remuneration remaining the same

Order No. 789-SD/ dated 5.10.30

Sanctioned.

18.9.30 5/10/30

Fin: Secy. to Govt:

GSO
19/9

To The Mng. Commr. with records.

" Comptroller to Govt:

List of enclosure.

Copied by

Examined by